

United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/733,680	12/11/2003	Donald W. Plass	POU920030171US1	8960
7590 02/06/2006		EXAMINER		
Andrew J. Wojnicki, Jr.			TRAN, MAI HUONG C	
Intellectual Property Law IBM Corporation, MS P386			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2455 South Road Poughkeepsie, NY 12601			2818	
			DATE MAILED: 02/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/733,680	PLASS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mai-Huong Tran	2818				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)[[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 De	ecember 2005					
· ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
- در	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are anowed. Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
· ·	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(e)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to Amendment filed on 12/26/2005.

Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U. S. C. § 102 (b) as being anticipated by Richter et al. (U.S. 6,489,658).

Claims 1-7 and 16-20 are rejected for the same reason as set forth in the previous Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/733,680

Art Unit: 2818

Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,489,658 to Ritchter et al. in view of Pearce (5,689,129).

Claims 8-9 are rejected for the same reason as set forth in the previous Office Action.

Claims 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,489,658 to Ritchter et al. in view of the remark.

Claims 10-15 are rejected for the same reason as set forth in the previous Office Action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant 's amended claims and arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Ritcher and Pearce are silent about the dopant type of the source, drain, channel and dopant type for the body contact. However, these features are seen to be well-know in the art.

Therefore, for the above reason, it is believed that the rejection should be sustained. Feature of an invention not found in the claims can be given no patentable weight in distinguishing the claimed invention over the prior art.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Page 4

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than

SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication on earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mai-Huong Tran, (571) 272-1796. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM. The examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Mai-Huong Tran

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800